

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/515,504	02/29/2000	NORIMITSU SAKO		105393	1188
25944 7	10/15/2004	i		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BE	RRIDGE, PLC			YE	, LIN
P.O. BOX 1993	28			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320		. L	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2615	

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/515,504	SAKO, NORIMITSU					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Lin Ye	2615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Extended aftended - If thended - If No - Fail Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute that the provision of the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute that the mailing date of the provision of the maximum statutory period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35.U.S.C. 8.133)					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	August 2004						
	his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	==/E							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		70 0.0. 210.					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,4,6-11,13-17,19,21-23 and 25-28</u> is	s/are pending in the application						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,8,9,11,13,16,17,19,23,25 and 28</u> is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,7,10,14,15,21,22,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.							
8)[_							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C. & 119(a).	-(d) or (f)					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		· .						
Attachmen	i(s)							
1) 🔀 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🛛 Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) ∐ Inforr Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	tent Application (PTO-152)					
6. Patent and Tr	ademark Office	-,						
ΓOL-326 (R	ev. 1-U4) Office Ad	tion Summary Part	t of Paner No /Mail Date 10132004					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-7, 10, 14,15, 21, 22, 26 and 27 filed on 8/2/04 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee U.S. Patent 6,549,234 in view of Brehmer et al. U.S. Patent 6,130,423.

Referring to claim 6, the Lee reference discloses in Figures 3-5, a CMOS image sensor comprising plurality of pixel sensors (PX11-PXmn, see Col. 3, lines 13-15) arranged in a two-dimensional array; a pair of pass transistors (NM42 and NM43) for passing a photo gate control signal (from a predetermined poison in a pixel sensor structure Pxji) thereby transferring corresponding signal charges, only when a corresponding row is selected; and a pair of pass transistors for passing a pixel transfer signal thereby allowing corresponding signal charges to be transferred, only when a corresponding column block is selected (et.

Application/Control Number: 09/515,504

Art Unit: 2615

Unlike the CCD image sensor, CMOS APS image sensor allows arbitrary pixels to be selected, the block of pixels in the CMOS image sensor can be selected by column reading unit 40 and rows selection decoder 10, see Col. 3, lines 15-30 and Col. 5, lines 1-32). However, the Lee reference does not explicitly show the pixel sensors are photo gate pixel sensors instead of photo diode sensors.

The Brehmer reference teaches in Figures 1 and 5, a CMOS image sensor (See Col. 2, lines 25-30) comprising a photo sensor circuit (520). The photosensor circuit (520) can be conventional photo diode, a photo gate circuit, or any other circuit that translates light into a voltage value (See Col. 4, lines 25-31). The Brehmer reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages CMOS image sensor having more flexible option to user either photo diode or photo gate for detecting light and translates light into a voltage value. For that reason, it would have been obvious to the CMOS sensor has a plurality photo gate pixel sensors disclosed by Lee.

4. Claims 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee U.S. Patent 6,549,234 in view of Brehmer et al. U.S. Patent 6,130,423 and Pain et al. U.S. Patent 6,326,230.

Referring to claim 7, the Lee and Brehmer references disclose all subject matter as discussed in respected claims 6, except the reference does not explicitly show wherein said pixel transfer signal falls down before said photo gate control signal rises up.

The Pain reference discloses in Figure 3D, a pixel transfer signal (TX) falls down before the photo gate control signal (PG) rises up. The Pain reference is evidence that one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages photo gate control signal rises up after the pixel transfer signal falls to prevent any charge from flowing back into sense nodes (See Col. 6, lines 8-13). For that reason, it would have been obvious to the CMOS sensor including means for pixel transfer signal falls down before said photo gate control signal rises up disclosed by Lee.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee U.S. Patent 6,549,234 in view of Brehmer et al. U.S. Patent 6,130,423 and Umeda et al. U.S. Patent 6,452,632.

Referring to claim 10, the Lee and Brehmer references disclose all subject matter as discussed in respected claims 6, except the reference does not explicitly show means for selectively connecting the output of the pixel sensor to a circuit for reading one row of block.

The Umeda reference discloses in Figures 20A-B and 90, a CMOS image sensor (see Col. 14, lines 44-54), comprising a pixel sensor; and means for selectively connecting the output of the pixel sensor to a circuit for reading one row of block. The Umeda reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages the CMOS type image sensor can be designed to selectively activate horizontal and vertical scanning lines to allow arbitrary pixels to be read out. For that reason, it would have been obvious to the CMOS image sensor has means for selectively connecting the output of the pixel sensor to a circuit for reading one row of block disclosed by Lee.

6. Claims 14, 21 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee U.S. Patent 6,549,234 in view of Brehmer et al. U.S. Patent 6,130,423 and Arai et al. U.S. Patent 5,128,769.

Referring to claims 14, 21 and 26, the Lee and Brehmer references disclose all subject matter as discussed in respected claim 6, except the reference does not explicitly state automatically adjusting the gain, focus and detecting substantial change in an image based on a several blocks in a central area of said CMOS image sensor.

The Arai reference discloses in Figures 1-2 and 10, a video camera includes an image sensor (2) of CCD or MOS type for estimating the average brightness over an entire screen of said image sensor from brightness detected for a several blocks in a central area (See Figure 2, central area 23A) and in a peripheral area of the screen (23B) (See Col. 5, lines 49-60 and Col. 6, lines 1-20); and a programmable gain amplifier (variable gain amplifier 64) having a gain that is automatically controlled in accordance with the estimated brightness (See Figure 10 and Col. 15, lines 44-55); detecting whether there is a substantial change in an image by reading several blocks in a central area and in peripheral area of an image screen of the image sensor; means for continuously taking an image over the entire screen when a substantial change is detected (See Col. 14, lines 61-68 and Col. 15, lines 1-4); adjusting focus by reading several blocks in a central area of an image screen of said CMOS image sensor; and means for taking an image over the entire screen after completion of the focus adjustment (See Col. 9, lines 7-18). The Arai reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages the video camera system can perform center area weighted measuring mode to obtain a proper exposure, focus adjustment and suppress an

unstable change in the exposure amount for a principal subject (as center area of image) caused by a motion of the principal subject or the video camera. For that reason, it would have been obvious to the camera device can perform automatically adjusting the gain, focus and detecting substantial change in an image based on a several blocks in a central area of said CMOS image sensor disclosed by Lee.

7. Claim 15, 22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee U.S. Patent 6,549,234 in view of Brehmer et al. U.S. Patent 6,130,423, Pain et al. U.S. Patent 6,326,230 and Arai et al. U.S. Patent 5,128,769.

Referring to claims 15, 22 and 27, the Lee, Pain and Arai references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claims 6-7, 14, 21 and 26.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 1,4, 8-9, 11, 13, 16-17, 19, 23, 25 and 28 allowed.

Please see the previous examiner office action mailed on 3/11/04 for the statement of reasons for allowance.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lin Ye whose telephone number is (703) 305-3250. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew B Christensen can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

-lye

Lin Ye October 13, 2004